

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/663,668	09/17/2003	Kazuhiro Yamamoto	239959US2	. 2482	
22850	7590 12/10/2004	•	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			HO, HA DINH		
1940 DUKE S ALEXANDRI	IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3681		
			DATE MAILED: 12/10/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/66	3,668	YAMAMOTO ET AL.	,			
Office Action Summary		Exami		Art Unit				
		Ha D.		3681				
	The MAILING DATE of this commu				SS			
Period for	or Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION on so of time may be available under the provision of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (0) period for reply is specified above, the maximum of the property within the set or extended period for replore ply received by the Office later than three months led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n munication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply ar y will, by statute, cause the	e statutory minimum of the object of will expire SIX (6) MC application to become a	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this common than the mailing date of th	unication.			
Status			•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 17 Septemb	er 2003.					
2a)□								
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from		·				
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		·	=				
	Applicant may not request that any obje							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin The oath or declaration is objected to	-						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	or documents have I or documents have I of the priority docu onal Bureau (PCT)	been received. been received in uments have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	ge			
Attachmen	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/17/03</u> .			o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 	2)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the first Office Action on the merits of Application No. 10/663,668 filed on 9/17/03. Claims 1-20 are currently pending.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 2-10 are objected to because of the following informalities: in line 1 of each of the claims 2-10, "a" should be changed to --the--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - Claim 1, line 8, the recitation of "a shifting gear" constitutes a double inclusion since "a shifting gear" was previously recited in claim 1, line 6.
 - Claim 11, line 7, the recitation of "a shifting gear" constitutes a double inclusion since "a shifting gear" was previously recited in claim 11, line 5.
 - Claim 11, line 8, the recitation of "the locking control device" is insufficient antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 3681

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claim1, 2, 4, 11, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schamscha (US 6,675,668).

Regarding claims 1 and 11, Schamscha teaches an output shaft locking device/method in a multi-clutch transmission 1 in which a plurality of rotational mechanisms (14, 3a, 5a, 7a) and (10d, 3b, 5b, 7b), each of which is formed by arranging a clutch (14 or 10d) and a shifting mechanism (3a, 5a, 7a) or (3b, 5b, 7b) in series, is provided in parallel between a rotational power input shaft 17 and a rotational power output shaft 9, comprising a locking control device 21 which locks the rotational power output shaft by engaging a shifting gear (3a and 5b) in each of the shifting mechanisms of at least two of the rotational mechanisms and engaging the clutches (14 and 10d) of the rotational mechanisms having a shifting gear engaged by the locking control device.

Regarding claims 2 and 12, wherein the shifting mechanism of the at least two of the rotational mechanisms electrically controls a change in a shift according to a shift operation by a driver (the driver operates the lever 25 for a shift operation).

Regarding claims 4 and 14, an rotational power output shaft lock determining device (22 and control program) which determines whether the rotational power output shaft can be locked,

Art Unit: 3681

wherein the locking control device locks the rotational power output shaft when it is determined by the rotational power output shaft lock determining device that the rotational power output shaft can be locked (col. 6, lines 47-54).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schamscha (US 6,675,668) in view of Bowen (US 6,499,370).

Schamscha does not specify that the clutches (14, 10d) of the at least two of the rotational mechanisms are engaged/disengaged electrically.

The clutches being engaged/disengaged electrically is old and well known in the art. For example, Bowen shows a twin clutch transmission, wherein the clutches (14, 16 and 80-88) are engaged/disengaged electrically by the controller 106 and the actuators 41 and 49 (see Fig. 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the clutches of Schamscha engaged/disengaged electrically in view of Bowen since electrically controlling of the clutches is old and well known in the art, which would give a quick and accurate control.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,668

Art Unit: 3681

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 5

9. Claims 5-10 and 15-20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Cited Prior Art

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Recker et al'474 and Hiraiwa'708 which each shows a multi-clutch transmission having a plurality of rotational mechanisms.

Communication

11. Submission of your response by facsimile transmission is encouraged. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and examination of patent applications will effectively increase a patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as the PTO's mail room processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence not permitted by facsimile transmission, see M.P.E.P. 502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check should not be submitting by facsimile transmission separately from the check. Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (M.P.E.P., 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmit	itea to
the Patent and Trademark Office on	
(Date)	
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	
	

Art Unit: 3681

(Signature)

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and M.P.E.P.. 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Ho whose telephone number is (703) 305-0738. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. Eastern Standard Time. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Charles Marmor, can be reached at (703) 308-0830. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

HDH (703) 305-0738 December 2, 2004 HA HO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 3681

12/02/04